

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,421		02/22/2001	Koichi Fukasawa	FUKASAWA 2 9136	
1444	7590	12/28/2001			
		EIMARK, P.L.L.C	EXAMINER		
SUITE 300				LEE, EUGENE	
WASHING	ron, DC	20001-5303		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2815	
				DATE MAILED: 12/28/2001	DATE MAILED: 12/28/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	LApplicant(s)				
·-		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/763,421	FUKASAWA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Eugene Lee	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠ Resp	ponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 F</u>	ebruary 2001 .					
2a)∐ This	action is FINAL. 2b) This	s action is non-final.					
	e this application is in condition for allowal ed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>						
Disposition of	Claims						
4)⊠ Claim	n(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)∐ Claim	n(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim	n(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7)∐ Claim	n(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim	n(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Pa	pers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 February 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Ackno	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All	b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	Certified copies of the priority documents						
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
	Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure attached detailed Office action for a list o	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknow	eledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of Refe	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark C	Office		D-4-16 D N1- 7				

Application/Control Number: 09/763,421 Page 2

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because there is foreign writing on the figures. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 2. Figure 22 and 23 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "32" has been used to designate both n-type semiconductor layer and yellow light. See page 13, line 8, and page 14, line 18. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽e) the invention was described in-

⁽¹⁾ an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

Application/Control Number: 09/763,421

Art Unit: 2815

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Page 3

5. Claims 1 thru 7, 9 thru 14 and 16 thru 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hide et al. '393. Hide discloses (see, for example, FIG. 6) an LED device comprising a Group III nitride layer (light emitting diode element) 12, support (base) 14, enclosure (resin) 26, and photoluminescent polymer film (fluorescent material containing layer) 34. A reflector dish 16 houses the LED device and reflects any emitted light in a desired direction (through a convex lens 28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hide et al. '393. Hide does not disclose said fluorescent material being an yttrium compound. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use yttrium, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use (to obtain fluorescence) as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.
- 8. Claims 15 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hide et al. '393 as applied to claims 1 thru 7, 9 thru 14 and 16 thru 24 above, and further in view of

Application/Control Number: 09/763,421

Art Unit: 2815

Page 4

Shimizu et al. '440. Hide does not disclose a pair of electrodes arranged on said base; and said

electrodes being surface-mounted directly to printed wires on a motherboard. However, Shimizu

discloses a light emitting device comprising an arrangement wherein a p electrode and n

electrode is connected to metal terminals 205 on a base through conductive wires 203. See

column 8, lines 56-*. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

invention to have the same arrangement as Shimizu in order to effectively communicate with a

LED within a compact package.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO CONTACT THE USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Eugene Lee whose telephone number is 703-305-5695. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on 703-308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular

communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Eugene Lee

December 19, 2001

FNDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800